

Erratum for the paper Query Expressibility and Verification in Ontology-Based Data Access

Carsten Lutz, Johannes Marti, and Leif Sabellek

October 16, 2019

This erratum discusses a mistake in the paper regarding the relationship between the expressibility problem and the verification problem. In the paragraph before Theorem 6 it is claimed that there is a polynomial time reduction from expressibility to verification by computing $\mathbf{M}(q_s)$. However, this statement is (likely to be) false, since computing $\mathbf{M}(q_s)$ involves checking the existence of homomorphisms between relational structures, which is NP-complete. We thank Gianluca Cima for pointing out this mistake.

Nevertheless, all theorems and lemmas continue to hold, as we argue now. In the paper, we relied on the incorrect statement to prove upper bounds only for the verification problem and lower bounds only for the expressibility problem. So we need to argue that all claimed upper bounds also hold for the expressibility problem and the claimed lower bounds also hold for the verification problem.

Upper bounds for expressibility. The only place in the paper where we use the false statement to obtain an upper bound for expressibility is in the proof of Theorem 10, the Π_2^P upper bound for UCQ-to-UCQ expressibility in [DL-Lite_{horn}^R, GAV]. The Π_2^P upper bound for expressibility can be maintained since $\mathbf{M}(q_s)$ can be computed in polynomial time by a Turing machine that has only universal states and access to an NP-oracle: Recall that all mappings are either unary or binary. For every unary symbol $A \in \text{sch}(\mathbf{M})$, iterate over all variables x of q_s ask the NP-oracle whether $A(x) \in \mathbf{M}(q_s)$. For every binary symbol from $\text{sch}(\mathbf{M})$, iterate over pairs of variables instead. After $\mathbf{M}(q_s)$ has been computed, proceed as in the algorithm for verification.

Lower bounds for verification. All hardness proofs for expressibility can be modified to become hardness proofs for verification by constructing not only \mathbf{M} and q_s , but also $\mathbf{M}(q_s)$ in the reduction. Since all mappings used in the hardness proofs (Theorem 11 and Theorem 20) are acyclic, $\mathbf{M}(q_s)$ can always be computed in polynomial time, using the Yannakakis algorithm for evaluating acyclic conjunctive queries.